

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



DATE MAILED: 03/18/2002

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/748,796	12/26/2000	Jeffrey E. Stahmann	279.315US1	3317
	7590 03/18/2002			
SCHWEGMAN,LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.			EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 2938 Minneapolis, MN 55402		SCHOENFELD, MEREDITH H		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3753	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		///				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/748,796	STAHMANN ET AL.				
Cannon Canno Can	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Meredith H. Schoenfeld	3753				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a represent the statutory minimum of thirty fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ARA	rply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 D	<u> ecember 2000</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	zx paπe Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provi	isional application has beer	n received.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4.	4) Interview Sun 5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				



Art Unit: 3753

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 1 –29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Bakels et al. Bakels et al teaches a method for operating a cardiac pacemaker comprising sensing artrial (111) and ventricle (110) depolarizations, having a artrial fibrillation mode (120) and a primary pacing mode (121), wherein the resynchronization pacing is delivered in the left ventricle (106). Bakels et al also discloses ventricular synchronization pacing and rate-adapting pacing (see column 9, lines 29-37).

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Meredith H. Schoenfeld whose telephone number is 703-308-3146 (fax 703-308-7765). The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Buiz can be reached on 703 308 0871.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0861.

mhs

March 6, 2002

Midwell Powell Brig Supervisory Patent Examiner US Patent & Trademark Office

3/6/02